

In the

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

October Term, 1978 78-1635

TIVIAN LABORATORIES, INC.

Petitioner,

vs.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent.

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED
STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIRST
JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

PETITION FOR CERTIORARI FILED APRIL 4,

APPENDIX

APPENDIX TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTORARI

DOCKET ENTRIES:

- 1. On or about October 16, 1975, initial letter from Environmental Agency to Defendant.
- 2. Service of said letter on Defendant by U.S.Marshal on October 28, 1975.
- 3. Defendant was to provide certain information as requested by the letter to the "EPA" by November 7, 1975, and then by November 17, 1975.
- 4. Defendant sent letter to U.S.Marshall on November 1, 1975 declining to respond to "EPA'S" request.
- 5. On May 6, 1976, a complaint and summons were issued and served by plaintiff's agents.
- 6. On May 24, 1976, an answer, motion to dismiss, and counter claim were filed by the Vice President of Legal Affairs of the

- of the defendant.
- 7. On May 28, 1976, plaintiff filed an objection to defendant's motion to dismiss.
- 8. On June 22, 1976, defendant filed a reply to plaintiff's objection to defendant's motion to dismiss.
- 9. On June 23, 1976, defendant filed Interrogatories against the plaintiff.
- 10. On July 7, 1976, defendant filed a motion to compel response to Interrogatories.
- 11. On July 9, 1976, plaintiff filed an objection to defendant's motion to compel.
- 12. On July 22, 1976, defendant filed a reply to plaintiff's objection.
- 13. On July 29, 1976, hearing before Judge Hagopian on defendant's motion. Ordered that Interrogatories be answered by the "EPA."

- 14. On August 12, 1976, plaintiff filed motion to default defendant on grounds that defendant failed to answer the complaint and summons.
- 15. On September 10, 1976, defendant filed a reply to plaintiff's motion for default judgment.
- 16. On September 16, 1976, defendant, filed a claim for dismissal for violation of court order compelling answers to Interrogatories.
- 17. On Seotember 22, 1976, plaintiff filed objection to defendant's claim for dismissal.
- 18. On October 26, 1976, a hearing on defendants claim for dismissal held before

 Judge Hagopian and ordered on November 2,

 1976, to stay defendant's claim pending a

 disposition of plaintiff's motion for default judgment.

- 19. On December 8, 1976, Judge Pettine granted default judgment and struct all pleadings of defendant.
- 20. Defendant's claim for hearing with a competent and impartial judge filed December 30, 1976.
- 21. Conditional Notice of Appeal filed by President of Corporation (defendant) on December 20, 1976.
- 22. On February 4, 1977, notice of appeal and motion to set aside entry of default.
- 23. On February 8, 1977, plaintiff filed objection to set aside entry of default.
- 24. On April 14, 1977, defendant's motion to set aside entry of default.
 25. On April 22, 1977, notice of withdrawal of appeal sent to Circuit Court of Appeals (First Circuit) and granted.
 26. On October 24, 1977, defendant filed Interrogatories.

- 27. On November 28, 1977, plaintiff filed m motion for summary judgment and motion for protective order.
- 28. On December 6, 1977, defendant filed objections to plaintiff's motions for summary judgment and protective order.
- 29. On December 19, 1977, Court ordered further memorandums on matters raised in plaintiff's motion for summary judgment and motion for protective order.
- 30. On January 4, 1978, defendant filed its further memorandum on the matters raised by plaintiff's motions.
- 31. On January 11, 1978, plaintiff filed its further memorandum on the matters raised on its motions for summary judgment and protective order.
- 32. On February 28, 1978, Court granted plaintiff's motion for summary judgment.
- 33. On March 7, 1978, defendant filed its notice of appeal fromCourt's granting of plaintiff's motion for summary judgment

- 34. On March 13, 1978, plaintiff filed its objection to defendant's motion for stay of order and judgment.
- 35. On March 23, 1978, Court denied defendant's motion for stay of order and judgment.

 36. Defendant filed an appeal of its motion for stay of order and judgment with First Circukt Court of Appeals on April 6, 1978.

 37. On April 6, 1978, defendant filed its Appendix to Brief with the First Circuit Court of Appeals.
- 38. Order of the Court denying appellant's motion for a stay of order and judgment of the District Court, requiring Tivian Laboratories to disclose to the EPA information concerning Tivian's use of certain chemicals, entered May 3, 1978.
- 39. Judgment of the Court of Appeals
 dated December 20, 1978, affirming the
 judgment of the District Court, except
 that the case is remaned to the District

Court to determine Vivian's claim that the compliance is unconstitutionally burdensome, entitling it to reimbursement as a matter of law.

40. Order of Court of Appeals, entered

April 5, 1979, denying motion for rehearing denial of stay of enforcement

of the order of December 20, 1978 pending
ruling on written petitions for same.

UNITED	STAT	res	COT	JRT	OF	APPEALS
FOR	THE	FI	RST	CIE	RCU:	ГT

No. 78-1109.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff, Appellee,

v.

TIVIAN LABORATORIES, INC.,
Defendant, Appellant.

ORDER OF COURT
Entered April 5, 1979

Upon request of appellant for "stay of enforcement order December 20, 1978 pending ruling on written petitions

for rehearing,"

It is ordered that said motion be, and the same hereby is, denied.

By the Court:

/s/ Dana H. Gallup Clerk. MANDATE

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIRST CIRCUIT

No. 78-1109.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Plaintiff, Appellee.

v.

TIVIAN LABORATORIES, INC.,
Defendant, Appellant.

JUDGMENT

Entered December 20, 1978

This cause was submitted on briefs on appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Rhode Island.

Upon consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed as follows: The judgment of the District Court is affirmed in all respects, except the case is remanded to the District Court for the limited purpose of determining Tivian's claim that compliance is so burdensome as to entitle it to reimbursement for the costs of compliance in accordance with the opinion filed this day.

Costs to appellee.

By the Court:

/s/ Dana H. Gallup Clerk. Costs in favor of appellee are taxed at Nothing (\$0.00) as appellee filed no verified bill.

Certified and issued as informal mandate under F.R.A.P. 41 on JAN 10 1979

/s/Dana H.Gallup Clerk.

> Attest to True Copy

FREDERICK R.DeCESARIS Clerk

By/s/Michele L.Hastings
Deputy Clerk.

(cc: Messrs. Foster and Sammartino).

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIRST CIRCUIT

No. 78-1109,

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Plaintiff, Appellee

V.

TIVIAN LABORATORIES, INC.,
Defendant, Appellant.

ORDER OF COURT
Entered May 3, 1978

Appellant's motion for a stay is hereby denied. The only immediate effect of the district court's order is that Tivian Laboratories, Inc. will be required, prior to review in

this court, to disclose to the Environmental Protection Agency information concerning Tivian's use of certain named chemicals. If appellant should prevail, this court can fashion relief to bar the use by the government of the information so acquired in future proceedings, whether civil or criminal. Moreover, appellant has not persuaded us on the present record of the substantial likelihood of its prevailing on the merits. In these circumstances, the stay will not be granted, Barthuli v. Board of Trustees, 46 U.S.L.W. 3212 (U.S. Sept. 20, 1977) (Rehnquist, Circuit Justice).

To enable this court to carry out a complete review, the present record is to be supplemented with a transcript of the hearing of February 23, 1978, which would appear to contain the decision of the district court read into the record. See district court order and judament of February 28, 1978. Appellant is ordered to obtain such a transcript to be included in the record on review.

The motion for stay of order and judgment of the district Court is hereby denied.

By the Court:

/s Clerk.

(Cert. c. Clerk, U.S.D.C., Mass; cc: Messrs. Foster and Sammartino)

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF RHODEISLAND

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
PLAINTIFF

C.A. NO.76-0167

VS

TIVIAN LABORATORIES, INC. DEFENDANT

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Notice is hereby given that
Tivian Laboratories Inc., defendant above named, hereby appeals
to the United States Circuit Court
of Appeals for the First Circuit
from the Order and Judgment granting
plaintiff's Motion for Summary
Judgment pursuant to Rule 56, Federal
Rules of Civil Procedure against
defendant, Tivian Laboraties, Inc.
entered in this action on the 28th
day of February 1978.

Tivian Laboratories, Inc. by its attorney

Richard K. Foster
Pole 121 Great Road
Lincoln, Rhode Island
02865
401-724-3042

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF

THE UNITED STATES FOR THE

DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.)CIVIL
)ACTION NO.
76-0167

TIVIAN LABORATORIES, INC.)

ORDER AND JUDGMENT

on motion of plaintiff for a summary judgment pursuant to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; the Court having considered the pleadings in the action and memoranda filed by the parties, and due deliberation having been made, and the decision of the Court having been read, the Court having found that there is no genuine issue as to any material fact, it is hereby

ORDERED, that plaintiff's motion for a summary judgment is in all respects granted; it is further

ORDERED, that defendant shall supply the information duly requested by the Environmental Protection Agency in its letter of October 16, 1975, forthwith; and it is further

ORDERED, that the issue of the assessment of civil penalties as set forth in Title 33, United States Code, Section 1319 (d), remain open until further Order of the Court.

By Order, .

/s/ Kathleen M. Powers
Deputy Clerk

ENTER:

/s/ Raymond J. Pettine
CHIEF JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2/28/78

IN	THE	DISTR:	CT	COURT	OF	THE
	τ	UNITED	STA	ATES		

FOR THE DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND

UNITED	STATES	OF AME	RICA)	
	v.) CIV	IL ACTION
) NO.	76-0167
TIVIAN	LABORA	CORIES.	INC.)	

ORDER AND JUDGMENT

This cause came on for hearing on motion of plaintiff for a summary judgment pursuant to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; the Court having considered the pleadings in the action and memoranda filed by the parties, and after hearing counsel for the respective parties and due deliberation having been made, and the decision of the Court having been read, the Court

having found that there is no genuine issue as to any material fact, it is hereby

ORDERED, that plaintiff's motion for a summary judgment is in all respects granted; it is further

ORDERED, that defendant shall supply the information duly requested by the Environmental Protection Agency in its letter of October 16, 1975, forthwith; and it is further

ORDERED, that the issue of the assessment of civil penalties as set forth in Title 33, United States Code, Section 1319(d),

(undated)

Attest to True Copy

FREDERICK R.DeCESARIS

Clerk

By /s/Michele L.Hastings

Deputy Clerk

FOR THE DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

PLAINTIFF,

OCIVIL

ACTION

V.

INO.

CA 76

TIVIAN LABORATORIES, INCORPORATED,

DEFENDANT.

DEFENDANT.

COMPLAINT

1. This is a civil action to impose civil penalties against the defendant for violating Section 308(a) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments (FWPCA), 33 U.S.C. ss1318(a), and to compel the defendant to provide the information sought by the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") pursuant to Section 308 of the FWPCA, 33 U.S.C. ss1318, and Section 114(a) of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. ss1857c-9(a).

- 2. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. ss1345, 33 U.S.C. ss1319(b), and 42 U.S.C. ss1857-8(a)(3).
- 3. Defendant Tivian Laboratories,
 Incorporated (the "Company"), is a corporation organized under the laws of Rhode
 Island.
- 4. The Company employs approximately
 15 persons in the production of plating
 solutions, resins, waxes, and chemical
 specialties for metal casting and finishing
 as well as fundamental research and development.
- 5. EPA is gathering information from sources suspected of using polychlorinated biphenyls and similar compounds (including chlorinated terphenyls). EPA believes that

Tivian Laboratories uses or handles certain of these chemicals, which, EPA has determined, constitute a significant hazard to human health and the environment. EPA seeks this information for the purpose of developing effluent limitations, emission standards, and other strategies to control these chemicals under the FWPCA and the Clean Air Act.

6. On October 28, 1975, a United
States Marshal served upon the Company a
letter dated October 16, 1975, from EPA
requiring the submission of certain specified information regarding the Company's
use and handling of polychlorinated biphenyls ("PCB"s"), chlorinated terphenyls, and other similar compounds or mixtures. This request was made pursuant
to authority granted EPA by Section 308
of the FWPCA and Section 114 of the Clean

Air Act.

- 7. Marvin S. Antelman, president of the Company and registered Agent for receipt of service for the Company, refused to accept service prior to October 28, 1975, and service was accomplished by service on the Secretary of State.
- 8. Pursuant to the letter dated
 October 16, 1975, the Company was required to submit information on November
 7, 1975, and again on November 17, 1975.
- 9. In a letter dated November 1,
 1975, to the U.S.Marshal, Providence,
 Rhode Island, Mr. Andrew Melechinsky
 declined on behalf of the Company to
 respond to EPA's request for information.
 To date, the Company has failed to comply

with that request.

10. Defendant has thereby violated Section 308(a) of the FWPCA, 33 U.S.C. ssl318(a), and Section 114(a) of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. ssl857c-9(a), on every day since November 7, 1975.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff, the United States of America, respectfully prays:

- 1. That the defendant Tivian

 Laboratories, Incorporated, be assessed

 a civil penalty of \$10,000 per day of

 violation of Section 308(a) of the

 FWPCA, in accordance with Section 309(d)

 of the FWPCA, 33 U.S.C. ssl319(d).
- 2. That the defendant Tivian

 Laboratories, Incorporated, be ordered to
 supply the information duly requested by

 EPA in its letter of October 16, 1975,

within five (5) days of the effective date of said order. 33 U.S.C. ss1319(b), 42 U.S.C. ss1857c-8(b).

3. That the Court grant such other relief as it may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

LINCOLN C. ALMOND United States Attorney

By:/s/

EVERETT SAMMARTINO
Assistant United States
Attorney